

**To:** Morgan, Ardra[Morgan.Ardra@epa.gov]; Garland, Jay[Garland.Jay@epa.gov]  
**From:** Nye, Michael  
**Sent:** Fri 7/21/2017 6:10:31 PM  
**Subject:** Notes from today

## 7/21/17 AWG call

CRADA progress: Paperwork prepared, have crada + statmenet of work. Ready to go with WaterGen. Have had some substantive discussions.

Jay has spoken with Watergen. Briefly went through SOW. Thought it sounded great.

Have CRADA info and SOW information prepared from their perspective. Was sent out and prepared to share with WaterGen now.

WaterGen will need to sign the CRADA.

Sarah Bauer will take this forward.

Kel: Sticking points? CRADA can sometimes get hung up on rights – patentable subjects.

Kel: Sounds like we are ready to go. Just a logistical thing at this point.

Why not study WaterGen units in places where they have already rolled them out. Vs shipping a new unit.

Jay: From the science side – benefits to either approach. If a bunch of collaborators, could set up in the same atmosphere. This would be more controlled and simpler. Currently do sampling similar to this and ship samples.

Cincy centralized testing would be preferable.

WaterGen has 3 different sizes. Which size preferable? Apartment scale mentioned.

Next call on 31st.

Michael B. Nye PhD

Net Zero Program Manager

National Exposure Research Laboratory

EPA Region 8 - Denver

US Environmental Protection Agency

T: 303 312 6986

M: 303 912 8259